	Case 2:19-cv-02628-JAM-CKD Document	nt 20 Filed 06/02/20 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CECIL JEROME HATCHETT,	No. 2:19-cv-2628 JAM CKD P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	KEN CLARK,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas	
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On May 7, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which	
21	were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the	
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner has filed	
23	objections to the findings and recommendations. <sup>1</sup>	
24		
25	<sup>1</sup> The magistrate judge found the court does not have jurisdiction over petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus concerning his Sacramento County conviction for indecent exposure	
26	because he has served his sentence with respect to that conviction. In his objections, petitioner now seems to suggest he has not yet been sentenced as to that offense and will be when his	
27	current term ends. If this is the case, the court still does not have jurisdiction as there is no state	
28	court judgment with respect to the indecent exposure offense. See 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a) (court has authority under § 2254 to grant writ of habeas corpus as to a "judgment" of a state court).	
	1	

## In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed May 7, 2020, are adopted in full; 2. Petitioner's second amended petition for writ of habeas corpus (ECF No. 16) is summarily dismissed; and 3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. § 2253. DATED: June 1, 2020 /s/ John A. Mendez\_ UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Case 2:19-cv-02628-JAM-CKD Document 20 Filed 06/02/20 Page 2 of 2